

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

JANE FISHER, as Personal
Representative of, and Administrator of
the Estate of, THEODORE DELUCIA,
deceased,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR
COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

FILE NO.: 1:24-cv-00280-ELR

**AMENDED NOTICE TO TAKE FIRST VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6)
DEPOSITION OF DEFENDANT**

TO: DEFENDANT AND ITS ATTORNEYS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(b)(6) and 26,
the stenographic and video-recorded deposition of **American Honda Motor
Company, Inc.’s corporate designee, Si Yang**, will be taken via Zoom before a
qualified Notary Public, on **May 1, 2024, beginning at 10 am EDT**. A Zoom link
is provided on the following page.

Zoom Details:

<https://popereporting.zoom.us/j/88336222086?pwd=V09DQkd4Ni9LUEhGNDFMc0lzeU5lQT09>

Meeting ID: 883 3622 2086

Passcode: 443483

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(b)(6), Defendant shall designate and fully prepare one or more officers, directors, managing agents or other persons who consent to testify on their behalf, and whom Defendant will fully prepare to testify regarding the following designated matters, and as to such related information that is known or reasonably available to Defendant and their organization:

OUR SIMPLE REQUEST

If you have any questions about these definitions or topics, what we are looking for, what we mean by a particular word/phrase, etc., please call or write so we can discuss any issues **before your designee attends the deposition, and in enough time for the designee to fully prepare for the deposition.** If your designee attends the deposition, purporting to be prepared in the manner required by Georgia law, and explains that he or she cannot provide the requested information because they do not know, or are uncertain about, what is meant by any definition above, or topic below, you are setting up an inefficient and wasteful cycle of additional depositions and, ultimately, motions for sanctions. We would like to work with you in an attempt to avoid those hopefully unnecessary

escalations, which waste the scarce time and resources of the parties and—more importantly—the Court. Please reach out to us.

MATTERS OF EXAMINATION

1. AHM's interpretation of all electronic data retrieved from the Subject Vehicle (*i.e.*, all information in Honda's possession that can be determined from the data retrieved from the vehicle).
2. All Honda and/or Acura vehicles that contain either the same or similar components in their seating related to the classification of vehicle occupants, or the same or similar manner and method of classifying vehicle occupants.
3. The specifications concerning:
 - a. The occupant classification system in the Subject Vehicle;
 - b. The latch time for each and every occupant classification change, to include the way in which each decision is latched, and the maximum time frame allowed for each latch;
 - c. The front passenger airbag systems in the Subject Vehicle;
 - d. The interaction between the above-referenced systems;
 - e. The suppression of the passenger front airbag in the subject crash.
4. The Bates Number for the documents containing the information concerning Topic 3 above, including all subtopics, and/or the names of the documents containing any such information if it has not yet been produced.
5. The meaning, context, application of, and other information related to Bates No. AHM002786 and the broader documents containing the same.
6. With respect to the Subject Vehicle, all specifications of, and algorithms related to, the following:
 - a. Occupancy Time (OPDS);

- b. Final Judgment Time (OPDS);
 - c. Transition Count (SWS); and
 - d. Judgment Count (SWS).
7. Testing related to the 2006 Pilot in which the passenger front airbag system deployed, regardless of whether the test was related to the airbag system, to include all barrier and car to car testing for the 2006 Pilot passenger airbag system.
 8. Simulations using crash test data to evaluate fire times for the airbag crash sensing system related to the 2006 Pilot passenger airbag system.
 9. The evaluation, design, development, installation, and field performance of any Low Risk Deployment passenger airbags at Honda that were considered for use as the passenger airbag system to comply with the “Low Risk Deployment” option for meeting the out of position six year-old and or three year old dummy testing under FMVSS 208 in or before 2006.
 10. The calibration matrix related to the 2006 Pilot passenger airbag system (*i.e.*, the simulated trigger times for the final calibration of the 2006 Pilot passenger airbag system).
 11. The evaluation, design, development, installation, and field performance of the Low Risk Deployment passenger airbag system installed in the 2004 Honda Accord and 2004 Honda Odyssey other LRD passenger airbag systems utilized by Honda in or before 2006.
 12. All warnings that Honda provided to owners and users of the 2006 Pilot concerning the front passengers, the airbag system, and the occupant classification system.
 13. Other instances about which Honda is aware, where the front passenger airbag system in a First Generation (2003-2008) Honda Pilot was suppressed, and the front passenger suffered injury or death, to include the way(s) in which Honda became aware of each, the documents and

communications related to each (including any research or investigation), and any actions that Honda took as a result, if any.

14. Other instances about which Honda is aware, where the front passenger airbag system in any vehicle that is responsive to Topic No. 2 above was suppressed, and the front passenger suffered injury or death, to include the way(s) in which Honda became aware of each, the documents and communications related to each (including any research or investigation), and any actions that Honda took as a result, if any.
15. All facts upon which Defendant bases its affirmative defenses.

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of April, 2024.

ASHBY | THELEN | LOWRY

445 Franklin Gateway, SE
Marietta, Georgia 30067
Main: (404) 777-7771
Fax: (404) 777-7772
drew@atllaw.com
max@atllaw.com
seth@atllaw.com

/s/ Drew Ashby
Andrew S. Ashby
Georgia Bar No. 455020
Maxwell K. Thelen
Georgia Bar No. 311404
Seth A. Lowry
Georgia Bar No. 867568

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned counsel hereby certifies that this pleading complies with the Local Rules of this Court, including Local Rules 5.1.C and 7.1.D (N.D. Ga.)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing document to all parties of record via email using the email addresses listed below.

<p>WATSON SPENCE LLP Philip A. Henderson Michael R. Boorman Philip A. Henderson 999 Peachtree Street NE, Ste. 1130 Atlanta, GA 30309 mboorman@watsonspence.com phenderson@watsonspence.com <i>Counsel for Defendant American Honda Motor Company, Inc.</i></p>	<p>D. Alan Thomas, Pro Hac Vice Jennifer H. R. Egbe, Pro Hac Vice Ryan A. Baker, Pro Hac Vice Huie, Fernambucq & Stewart, LLP 3291 Highway 280 Birmingham, AL 35243 athomas@huielaw.com jegbe@huielaw.com rbaker@huielaw.com <i>Counsel for Defendant American Honda Motor Company, Inc.</i></p>
---	---

This 29th day of April, 2024.

ASHBY | THELEN | LOWRY

445 Franklin Gateway SE
Marietta, Georgia 30067
Main: (404) 777-7771
Fax: (404) 777-7772
drew@atllaw.com
max@atllaw.com
seth@atllaw.com

/s/ Drew Ashby
Andrew S. Ashby
Georgia Bar No. 455020
Maxwell K. Thelen
Georgia Bar No. 311404
Seth A. Lowry
Georgia Bar No. 867568